

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,923	01/15/2002	Andrew Lewis Schirmer	23452-507	4480
909	7590 10/06/2006		EXAM	INER
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			SMITH, TRACI L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3629	•
•			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/044,923	SCHIRMER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Traci L. Smith	3629	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the other cases. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following on be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/044,923 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to papers filed on June 26, 2006.

Claims 1-49 have been amended.

Claims 1-50 are pending.

Claims 1-50 are rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 1. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because a signal <u>per se</u> is not the type of subject matter that is considered statutory. If the signal claim is interpreted as an abstract arrangement "to be transmitted", or as a transmission in transit, rather than a **physical signal statically embedded in a physical computer readable medium**, the signal claim is considered non-statutory. Claim 50 would be required to be cancelled in order of the instant application to be considered allowable.
- 2. A signal is insubstantial and therefore neither concrete nor tangible. It is a pattern that is evident over a period of time by measuring the changes in level of some attribute, such as voltage or current, at a given point. A signal in transmission is none of a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof. It is information transmitted as energy, encoded to endow it with intelligible patterns for subsequent interpretation. Again, neither tangible nor concrete.

### Double Patenting

3. Claims 1-50 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 4, 5, 19-20, and 23-24 of copending Application No. 10,044914.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

- 4. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The subject matter common to both is the "publication" of ones affinity. As well as allowing the user to select whether or not to publish the affinity.
- 5. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/044,923

Art Unit: 3629

Page 4

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TLS** 

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

mil